



Town of Middleton

182 Kings Highway, Middleton, New Hampshire 03887

PLANNING BOARD MEETING & HEARING MINUTES

Middleton Old Town Hall

200 Kings Highway

Middleton, NH 03887

PLANNING BOARD MEETING & HEARING MINUTES

Middleton Old Town Hall

200 Kings Highway

Middleton, NH 03887

January 29, 2026 at 6:00 p.m.

These minutes serve as the legal record and are in the form of an overview of the Planning Board meeting. It is neither intended nor is it represented that this is a full transcription. A recording of the meeting is available online at <https://www.youtube.com/@townofmiddleton9741/streams> for a limited time for reference purposes.

Attachments

January Building Permit Report

Meeting Sign In Sheet

Planning Board Meeting Agenda

Proposed Amendments to Middleton Zoning Ordinance for 2026

Statutorily Mandated Development Regulations Amendment HB 413

Draft Minutes January 8, 2026 Meeting

Draft Rules of Procedure

Public Hearing on Proposed Amendments to Subdivision Regulations & Continuation of the Public Hearing on Proposed Amendments to the Zoning Ordinance

Meeting called to order by Christine Maynard at 6:01 p.m.

Pledge of Allegiance

Roll Call

Members present: Christine Maynard (Chair), Kate Buzard (Vice Chair), John Quinn (SLVD Rep), Roxanne Tufts-Keegan (BOS Rep)

Public Hearing called to order by Christine Maynard at 6:03 p.m.

Zoning Ordinance 2026 Updates & Revisions

Members reviewed the updated document containing minor revisions suggested by counsel. Each proposed amendment was reviewed individually and compared to the list of agenda items that show what changes occurred and the impact of the change.

Any further changes to the document would need to be approved by counsel, voted on at another public meeting and submitted to the Town Clerk by Tuesday, February 3, 2026.

C. Maynard said the proposed amendments concerning fence height and elimination of the Family Compound require more explanation so people understand the need for the changes.

K. Buzard said the Family Compound article conflicts with recent ADU law that prohibits requiring a familial relationship.

K. Buzard had some recommendations concerning building permits. The members agreed to table them until the next meeting in anticipation of 2027 voting. **K. Buzard** said the Board should proceed with the final review of this document for this ballot and approve it tonight, unless the Board and public agree to additional changes needed now.

K. Buzard said it's legally required to post the ballot at the voting place.

There was discussion about whether the verbiage can be condensed for the ballot. The Town Clerk must have it in final form by February 3.

J. Quinn said he would create a document to help explain the proposed amendments. He will start with this agenda, change the order of the Articles to coincide with the final amendments and develop a document to share with the residents.

R. Tufts-Keegan made a motion to approve Proposed Amendments 1 through 14 on the document Proposed Amendments to Middleton Zoning Ordinance for 2026 to go on the ballot for the March 10, 2026 vote.

J. Quinn seconded the motion.

Motion carried.

C. Maynard closed the Public Hearing on the Proposed Changes to the Zoning Ordinance at 6:53 p.m.

If any of the proposed amendments that were developed in response to changes in the State Law do not pass, the corresponding article will be noted in the Zoning Ordinance 2026 update.

R. Tufts-Keegan made a motion to approve the minutes of the January 8, 2026 meeting, as amended, by adding the title "Member Comments" after the sentence "The status of current inquiries was reviewed." on page 3.

J. Quinn seconded the motion.

Motion carried.

C. Maynard opened the Public Hearing on the Proposed Changes to the Subdivision Regulations at 7:05 p.m.

K. Buzard explained changes to the Development Regulations that are necessary because of recent changes in New Hampshire State Law.

R. Tufts-Keegan made a motion to amend Article 3 of the Development Regulations to comply with HB 413 as indicated on the agenda. (...to allow 3 years to reach active and substantial development or building and amend the exemption period per 674:39 to 7 years instead of 4.)

J. Quinn seconded the motion.

Motion carried.

The Clerk will make the appropriate change in the Development Regulations document and notify the appropriate departments.

R. Tufts-Keegan said two of the Zoning Ordinance changes recommended by Strafford Regional Planning also affect the Development Regulations.

K. Buzard said the Board needs to be aware of this. In addition, there are more changes she would like to discuss with the Board.

Members agreed updating the Development Regulations should be a topic on the agenda soon.

C. Maynard closed the Public Hearing on Proposed Changes to the Development Regulations at 7:07 p.m.

Rule of Procedure

K. Buzard reviewed an updated, although not finalized, draft of the Rules of Procedure. She said the Authority and Membership sections are required to be there. The section on Alternates is not included in the current Rules of Procedure and needs to be added. She said she would like to eliminate the sections on Design Review, Conceptual Consultation and Applications for Subdivision and Site Plan Review. They are redundant, having them in more than one document is not necessary and can cause errors and omissions when they are updated.

Other suggestions for changes were discussed and will be finalized later.

C. Maynard suggested the Land Use Inquiry Form be added.

~~**K. Buzard** said she thinks the form is useful but should not be part of the Board's Rules of Procedure.~~ **Amended at Planning Board Meeting February 12, 2026.**

There was discussion about the implications of the word "shall" in relation to the number of members on the Board and training requirements.

Time limits for public comment and remote participation of board members were discussed.

Building Permit Review

Building Permits for December 2025 were reviewed.

Board members requested a summation report for the calendar year; the Clerk will bring this to the next meeting.

Adjournment

C. Maynard made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 7:29 p.m.

R. Tufts-Keegan seconded the motion.

Motion carried.

The next regular meeting is scheduled for February 12, 2026 at 6:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by:
Robin Willis

Approved 2026-02-12



Town of Middleton

182 Kings Highway, Middleton, New Hampshire 03887

OFFICE OF THE PLANNING BOARD

PLANNING BOARD AGENDA

PUBLIC HEARING on Proposed Zoning Amendments

6:00 p.m. at Old Town Hall

THURSDAY, JANUARY 29, 2025

Call to Order
Pledge Allegiance
Roll Call by Chair
Open Public Hearing

ARTICLE 35 – ZBA Appeals Deadline (RSA 676:5)

What changed:

State law now requires all appeals of administrative decisions to be filed **within 30 days** of the decision.

Article 35 Middleton amendment:

Update **Article 35** to add a subsection stating that appeals under RSA 676:5 must be filed within 30 days.

Impact: Clarifies the deadline and aligns the ordinance with state law.

Article 35 to add a subsection stating that appeals under RSA 676:5 must be filed within 30 days

Impact: Clarifies the deadline and aligns the ordinance with State Law

What Changed: State Law now requires all appeals of administrative decisions to be filed within 30 days of the decision.

ARTICLE 20 – Occupancy Restrictions

What changed:

Municipalities may not limit occupancy to fewer than **2 persons per bedroom** or require occupants to be related.

Article 20 Middleton amendment:

Remove the “maximum of three residents per unit” limit in **Article 20 – Housing for Older Persons**.

Impact:

Eliminates an occupancy cap that is now prohibited.

ARTICLE 20 – Residential Parking Requirements

What changed:

Municipalities may not require more than **1 parking space per dwelling unit** for residential uses.

Article 20 Middleton amendment:

Update **Article 20 – Housing for Older Persons** to reduce required parking from **2.25 spaces** to **1 space** per unit.

Impact:

Brings parking standards into compliance with state law.

ARTICLE 24A – Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs)

What changed:

ADUs must be allowed **asofright** wherever singlefamily homes are permitted. Towns must allow:

- Attached or detached ADUs
- ADUs sized **750–950 sq ft**
- Conversion of existing structures, even if nonconforming
- No additional review beyond a building permit
- Owneroccupancy

Article 24A Middleton amendments:

Update **Article 24A** to:

- Allow attached and detached ADUs
- Allow conversions of existing structures
- Apply the same standards as singlefamily homes
- Adjust size requirements
- Remove extra Planning Board review from the permit process
- Retain owneroccupancy

Impact:

Modernizes ADU regulations and ensures compliance with state law.

B-1 District and 4 Corners District – Residential Uses in Commercial Zones

What changed:

Municipalities must allow **multifamily (3+ units)** in commercial zones with adequate infrastructure.

Middleton amendments:

Add “multifamily dwelling” to permitted residential uses in:

- **B1 District**
- **4 Corners District**

Impact:

Expands residential options in commercial areas as required by statute.

ARTICLE 22 and ARTICLE 5A – HomeBased Child Care

What changed:

Family and group family childcare must be allowed as an **accessory use** to any primary residential use, with **no site plan review**.

Article 22 Middleton amendments:

Article 5A

- Add homebased daycare to **Article 22 – Home Enterprises**
- Remove outdated daycare references from **Article 5A**

Impact:

Ensures homebased childcare is permitted in all districts where singlefamily homes are allowed.

Article 23 Family Compound

Summary: Completely remove Article 23 of the Zoning Ordinance.

- Family Compound” is, by definition, a relationship-based land use, which is now prohibited per HB 457
- Creates enforcement problems
- Conflicts with new ADU laws (HB577)

Add a note stating the date rescinded.

Article 5A BASE ZONING DISTRICTS USES

A. SUNRISE LAKE DISTRICT

5. FENCES

3. NO fence, in the Sunrise Lake District, shall exceed 4 (four) feet in height.

What changed: Increases height of fence in Sunrise Lake District

ARTICLE 42

C. – BUILDING PERMITS

1. A building permit shall be required for the construction, enlargement, alteration, or relocation of any building or structure, and for any work requiring review under this Ordinance.

2. The following activities do not require a building permit unless otherwise specified in this Ordinance:

- a. Ordinary repairs that do not involve structural changes.
- b. Interior renovations that do not alter means of egress, load-bearing elements, or life-safety systems.
- c. Fences six (6) feet in height or less.

3. A building permit is required for any fence exceeding six (6) feet in height.

Fence height shall be measured from the natural grade at the base of the fence on the lowest side.

4. The Building Inspector/Code Enforcement Officer shall review all building permit applications for compliance with this Ordinance and applicable state laws.

5. A building permit shall be issued when the proposed work complies with all applicable regulations and shall expire twelve (12) months from the date of issuance unless substantial work has commenced.

What changed: requiring a building permit Town wide for fences over 6 feet

ARTICLE 6 A (pg. 16) and Article 5 B (pg. 7)

A. GENERAL DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS.

2. Frontage. There shall be a **two hundred (200) foot** minimum road frontage per individual lot on a class V or better road (pg. 16)

What changed: The wording to “on a Class V or better road.”



Town of Middleton

182 Kings Highway, Middleton, New Hampshire 03887

OFFICE OF THE PLANNING BOARD

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO MIDDLETON ZONING ORDINANCE for 2026

1: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment No. 1 as proposed by the Middleton Planning Board for the Middleton Zoning Ordinance as follows: Amend ARTICLE 5A-BASE ZONING DISTRICT USES, Section B. Sunrise Lake District to add paragraph 5, delineating the maximum height of fences to be 48 (48) inches?

2: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment No. 2 as proposed by the Planning Board for the Middleton Zoning Ordinance as follows: Amend ARTICLE 23-FAMILY COMPOUNDS to be rescinded in its entirety?

Statutorily Mandated Zoning Ordinance Regulation Amendments

3: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment No. 3 as proposed by the Planning Board for the Middleton Zoning Ordinance as follows: Amend ARTICLE 35 – APPEAL to add

- A. Any person seeking to appeal a decision of the Zoning Board of Adjustment, Planning Board, or any administrative decision must comply with the provisions of **NH RSA Chapters 676 and 677**. It is strongly recommended that anyone seeking to appeal a decision consult with legal counsel. Although the clerks for the various Middleton boards and departments may be able to assist a person in directing him or her to the proper forms for appeals to the Zoning Board of Adjustment for certain matters, they are unable to provide any person with legal advice. Failure to perfect a right of appeal within time limits prescribed by New Hampshire statutes and otherwise may result in the denial of the appeal.
- B. Appeals from an administrative decision taken under RSA 676:5 shall be filed within 30 days of the decision.

4: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment No. 4 as proposed by the Planning Board for the Middleton Zoning Ordinance as follows: Amend ARTICLE 20-HOUSING FOR OLDER PERSONS to remove the words “The number of full time residents of a single dwelling unit in a residential community approved under this Article shall not exceed three (3).”?

5: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment No. 5 as proposed by the Planning Board for the Middleton Zoning Ordinance as follows: Amend ARTICLE 20-HOUSING FOR OLDER PERSONS to update

Parking: In residential communities qualifying as Housing for Older Persons, a minimum of one (1) space of off-street parking, not to include garages, shall be provided per dwelling unit?

6: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment No. 6 as proposed by the Planning Board for the Middleton Zoning Ordinance? ARTICLE 24-A ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS to rescind and replace Sections C through E entirely and replace with

C. Requirements

1. Accessory dwelling units shall be allowed in all zoning districts that permit single-family dwellings. One accessory dwelling unit, which may be either attached or detached, shall be allowed as a matter of right.
2. No more than one accessory dwelling unit for any single-family dwelling. ADUs prohibited on rented or leased land.
3. Subsequent condominium conveyance of any accessory dwelling unit separate from that of the principal dwelling unit shall be prohibited, notwithstanding the provisions of RSA 356-B:5.
4. Attached accessory dwelling units shall have either an independent means of ingress and egress or ingress and egress through a common space shared with the principal dwelling.
5. All municipal regulations applicable to single-family dwellings shall also apply to the combination of principal dwelling unit and an accessory dwelling unit, including but not limited to lot coverage standards and standards for maximum occupancy per bedroom consistent with policy adopted by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development, provided that such municipal regulations shall not be more restrictive for accessory dwelling units than for any single-family use in the same zoning district.
6. One additional parking space for the accessory dwelling unit is required.
7. The applicant for a permit to construct an accessory dwelling unit shall make adequate provisions for water supply and sewage disposal for the accessory dwelling unit in accordance with RSA 485-A:38, but separate systems shall not be required for the principal and accessory dwelling units.
8. Owner occupancy of one of the dwelling units required. The owner must demonstrate that one of the units is his or her principal place of residences and must submit documentation demonstrating this to the Building Inspector.

9. The total living space of the accessory dwelling unit shall not exceed 950 square feet and shall not exceed two (2) bedrooms.
10. Accessory dwelling units may be converted from existing structures, including but not limited to detached garages, regardless of whether such structures violate current dimensional requirements for setbacks or lot coverage.
11. Accessory dwelling units shall not be denied on the basis of requiring the establishment of a separate electrical panel or separate electrical service to the accessory dwelling unit.
12. Prior to occupancy of the accessory dwelling unit, the homeowner shall obtain an occupancy permit from the Building Inspector.

7: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment No. 7 as proposed by the Planning Board for the Middleton Zoning Ordinance as follows: Amend ARTICLE 36-DEFINITIONS to delete the current definition

ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS. A residential living unit that is within or attached to a single-family dwelling, or detached from the principal dwelling and that provides independent living facilities for one or more persons, including provisions for sleeping, eating, cooking, and sanitation on the same parcel of land as the principal dwelling unit it accompanies.

And replace with:

ACCESSORY DWELLING UNIT: A building or portion thereof used, designed for or containing a dwelling unit that is an accessory use to a primary residence. A residential living unit that is located on a lot containing a single-family dwelling that provides independent living facilities for one or more persons, including provisions for sleeping, eating, cooking, and sanitation, on the same parcel of land as the principal dwelling unit it accompanies. Accessory dwelling units may be constructed at the time as the principal dwelling unit?

8: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment No. 8 as proposed by the Planning Board for the Middleton Zoning Ordinance as follows: Amend ARTICLE 36-DEFINITIONS to add

ACCESSORY DWELLING UNIT, ATTACHED: A unit that is within or physically connected to the principal dwelling unit, or completely contained within a preexisting detached structure?

9: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment No. 9 as proposed by the Planning Board for the Middleton Zoning Ordinance as follows: Amend ARTICLE 36-DEFINITIONS to add

ACCESSORY DWELLING UNIT, DETACHED: A unit that is neither within nor physically connected to the principal dwelling unit, or completely contained within a preexisting detached structure?

10: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment No. 10 as proposed by the Planning Board for the Middleton Zoning Ordinance as follows: Amend ARTICLE 5A-BASE ZONING DISTRICT USES, C. B-1 DISTRICT RESIDENTIAL, COMMERCIAL AND LIGHT INDUSTRIAL add

c. Multi-family dwelling

and

re-letter existing c. to g. to d. to h.?

11: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment No. 11 as proposed by the Planning Board for the Middleton Zoning Ordinance as follows: Amend ARTICLE 5A-BASE ZONING DISTRICT USES, D. MIDDLETON 4 CORNERS DISTRICT add

d. Multi-family dwelling?

12: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment No. 12 as proposed by the Planning Board for the Middleton Zoning Ordinance? Amend ARTICLE 22- HOME ENTERPRISES add

F. Home-based daycare (family care and group family care). An accessory use to any primary residential use providing family care and group family care.

1. Home-based daycare shall be allowed in all districts where single-family homes are allowed. Home-based daycare is not subject to site plan review. Home-based daycare facilities must meet all requirements for such programs adopted in the NH Department of Health and Human Services administrative rules.

13: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment No. 13 as proposed by the Planning Board for the Middleton Zoning Ordinance as follows: Amend ARTICLE 5A-BASE ZONING DISTRICT USES, A. RURAL RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT, 4. Special Exceptions to rescind

d. Group nursery schools or group day care center*, provided they are located adjacent to a collector street.

*As used here, day care center shall mean a group day care center for the care and supervision of more than **twelve (12) children**. As defined by the State of New Hampshire:

1. Group child center for the care of **thirteen (13)** or more children under the age of five (5).

2. Group day care nursery for the care of **five (5)** or more children under the age of **three (3)**.
3. Family group day care (treated herein as a home business in Middleton) for the care of **seven (7) – twelve (12) children?**

14: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment No. 14 as proposed by the Planning Board for the Middleton Zoning Ordinance as follows: Amend ARTICLE 5-BASE ZONING DISTRICT, B. GENERAL DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS, to update

2. Frontage:

Class V or Better Road: There shall be two hundred (200) foot minimum road frontage per individual lot on a class V or better road.

Class VI Road: There shall be two hundred (200) foot minimum road frontage per individual lot on a class VI and the applicant must provide a liability waiver to the town, record it with the registry of deeds, and prove that the lot and any buildings are insurable.

Private Road: There shall be two hundred (200) foot minimum road frontage per individual lot on a private road and after review and comment from the planning board.

Revised: January 29, 2026



Town of Middleton

182 Kings Highway, Middleton, New Hampshire 03887

PLANNING BOARD MEETING & HEARING MINUTES
Middleton Old Town Hall
200 Kings Highway
Middleton, NH 03887

January 8, 2026 at 6:00 p.m.

These minutes serve as the legal record and are in the form of an overview of the Planning Board meeting. It is neither intended nor is it represented that this is a full transcription. A recording of the meeting is available online at <https://www.youtube.com/@townofmiddleton9741/streams> for a limited time for reference purposes.

DRAFT: These minutes are strictly a draft copy and are awaiting amendment or approval at a subsequent, duly noticed public meeting. Amendments to these minutes will be noted in the minutes of said meeting. This draft is available for public review and the approved copy will be posted on the Town of Middleton website.

Attachments

December Building Permit Report
Meeting Sign In Sheet

Meeting called to order by Christine Maynard at 6:00 p.m.

Public Hearing on Proposed Amendments to the Zoning Ordinance called to order by Christine Maynard at 6:00 p.m.

Pledge to the Flag

Roll Call

Members present: Christine Maynard (Chair), Kate Buzard (vice Chair), John Quinn (SLVD Rep), Roxanne Tufts-Keegan (BOS Rep)

Zoning Ordinance 2026 Updates & Revisions

Board members reviewed recommended changes to the Zoning Ordinance that are necessary because of recent changes in State law. They went over the newly approved House and Senate bills and proposed new text in detail in preparation for the upcoming ballot.

K. Buzard said the Selectboard can create a policy for building on private roads.

There was discussion on the history of fence height being limited to 48 inches in the Sunrise Lake District so residents' views would not be obstructed. It was decided to propose 48 inches be the maximum height town wide.

C. Maynard said the Code Enforcement Officer had a different opinion on what fence heights are allowed.

K. Buzard said if someone wants to build a taller fence they would go to the ZBA for a variance and the abutters would be notified.

There was discussion about the definition of “structure” in the Zoning Ordinance and whether it should include fences.

R. Tufts-Keegan said Proposed Amendment No. 13 was approved at the 2025 Town Meeting. This article says, “edit structures to change the wording in definitions to clarify what structures are and their applicability, such as fences and walls?”

J. Quinn said the wording needs to be clear so when residents vote they understand what the amendment means.

K. Buzard said since the proposed article to eliminate Family Compounds did not pass last year, they should consider amending it this year.

C. Maynard said they were advised not to require the term Family Compound be included in the deed to a property.

R. Tufts-Keegan said because of the latest updates to laws involving ADUs, Family Compounds are much more restrictive and the goal is to reduce those restrictions.

There was discussion about how to help the public understand most of the amendments being proposed are not optional due to State law changes.

C. Maynard would like to see some type of explanation of what a “Yes” or “No” vote means for each amendment.

J. Quinn recommended they provide a separate document explaining the amendments being proposed so residents know what they are voting for.

There was discussion about helping voters understand the difference between the zoning districts.

The members reviewed deadlines. The draft in final form will be sent to legal counsel to review. The final version for the ballot must be to the Town Clerk by February 3.

R. Tufts-Keegan made a motion to continue the Public Hearing on the Proposed Amendments to the Zoning Ordinance to January 29, 2026 at 6:00 p.m. at the Old Town Hall.

J. Quinn seconded the motion.

Motion carried.

K. Buzard asked if they were going to address the changes in the Subdivision Regulations at that hearing.

It was decided they would be discussed at the January 29 hearing, but the final decisions can be made at a future public hearing.

K. Buzard clarified the Subdivision Regulations changes must be made at a public hearing; the Rules of Procedures can be changed at a public meeting.

J. Quinn said it's important to let people know ahead of time what will be discussed at the hearing so they can decide if they want to come.

It was agreed having the monthly meeting agenda available a week before the meeting is good practice. In the future, the Vice Chair will create a proposed draft agenda prior to the meeting. Agendas should include discussion on the Capital Improvement Program, Impact Fees and Rules of Procedure so they don't lose track of these items.

R. Tufts-Keegan made a motion to approve the December 18, 2025 meeting minutes.

J. Quinn seconded the motion.

Motion carried.

Land Use Inquiries

The status of current inquiries was reviewed.

C. Maynard said Strafford Regional Planning is working with her on the budget. They will be helping with future Zoning Ordinance updates, specifically manufactured housing, short term rentals, multi-family housing, commercial zoning, and compliance with State Law (existing and upcoming revisions). She suggested they arrange for video calls with Strafford Regional Planning to reduce the time, inconvenience and costs associated with traveling to meetings.

There was discussion about short-term rentals including a recent conversation with Avitar Associates Assessing about their experience and perspective. Some towns are starting to require property owners to register with the Town for a small fee to better manage issues and possible revenue from the State.

J. Quinn said he is concerned about discouraging small business opportunities. It's important the Town let the State manage short term rentals. He feels strongly property owners are allowed to do what they want with their property if they are complying with the law. He said there should not be a fee associated with a permitting process if one is ever implemented.

There was discussion about lot size requirements and how they apply to manufactured housing.

K. Buzard said she has been working with Solsmart on a revised Solar Ordinance and will be bringing it to the Planning Board soon.

There was discussion about how to communicate explanations of the changes to the Zoning Ordinance.

Adjournment

R. Tufts-Keegan made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 8:02 p.m.

J. Quinn seconded the motion.

Motion carried.

A Public Hearing is scheduled for January 29, 2025 at the Old Town Hall. The purpose is to review the Warrant Articles relating to revisions to the Zoning Ordinance that will be on the upcoming ballot. Most of these revisions are necessary due to recent changes in State Law.

Respectfully submitted by:
Robin Willis

Draft

TOWN OF MIDDLETON

Permits Issued

Permits Issued With Approved Date Between 12/01/2025 And 12/31/2025 Sorted by PID

PID	Permit Type	Project	Owner	Location	Permit Number	Permit Status	Applicant	Contractor	Applicant Phone	Contractor Phone	Added	Approved	Expires	Fee	Est. Cost	Proj. Date
000004 000258 000000	ELECTRICAL PERMIT	ALTERATION -- FINISHING OFF BASEMENT AREA	SZILARD, JUSTIN RYAN	60 LAKESHORE DRIVE	E-25-39		GLYNN AND SONS ELECTRIC		7246409242	6034164489	12/04/25	12/04/25	12/04/26	\$ 100.00	\$ 0.00	10/27/25
000005 000131 000000	PLUMBING PERMIT	ADDITON -- ADDITON AND RENO 2616 SQ FT	DUNLOP, LINDA	299 PINKHAM ROAD	G-298		DF RICHARD		6179625053	6035166536	12/11/25	12/11/25	12/11/26	\$ 50.00	\$ 0.00	08/29/25
000008 000004 000000	GAS PERMIT	ALTERATION -- REPLACE HEATER	MIDDLETON BUILDING SUPPLY, INC	5 KINGS HIGHWAY	G-297		EASTERN		6038631050	6035056011	12/11/25	12/11/25	12/11/26	\$ 50.00	\$ 0.00	12/11/25
000008 000011 000006	BUILDING PERMIT	NEW BUILDING -- 1768 SQ FT NEW HOME 3 BED 2	TSB CONSTRUCTION LLC	PINKHAM ROAD	3004		DAVID COISH		6037659176		12/30/25	12/30/25	12/30/26	\$ 2,520.40	\$ 0.00	12/30/25

Permits Issued
Permits Issued With Approved Date Between 12/01/2025 And 12/31/2025 Sorted by PID

PID: 000008 000015 000001	Project: ALTERATION -- REROOF	Location: 165 NEW DURHAM ROAD	Proj. Date: 12/11/25
Permit Type	Owner: KIMBALL, LESTER E	Owner Phone: 6034732308	
	Permit Number	Added	Approved
BUILDING PERMIT	3003	12/11/25	12/11/25
	Applicant:		Expires
	Contractor: HALL BROTHERS CONTRACTING	Applicant Phone: 2076981551	12/11/26
		Contractor Phone: 2076981551	Fee
			\$ 50.00
			Est. Cost
			\$ 0.00

Summary of Permits:

Permit Type	Count	Fees Collected	Estimated Cost
BUILDING PERMIT	2	\$ 2,570.40	\$ 0.00
ALTERATION	1	\$ 50.00	\$ 0.00
NEW BUILDING	1	\$ 2,520.40	\$ 0.00
ELECTRICAL PERMIT	1	\$ 100.00	\$ 0.00
ALTERATION	1	\$ 100.00	\$ 0.00
PLUMBING PERMIT	1	\$ 50.00	\$ 0.00
ADDITON	1	\$ 50.00	\$ 0.00
GAS PERMIT	1	\$ 50.00	\$ 0.00
ALTERATION	1	\$ 50.00	\$ 0.00
Total	5	\$ 2,770.40	\$ 0.00

Total of Estimated Costs: \$ 0.00